

INDICE-SOMMARIO

INTRODUZIONE

GUSTAVO MINERVINI, LORENZO MOLA, FEDERICO ORTINO, FULVIO MARIA PALOMBINO, GIOVANNI ZARRA, <i>The European Union in international investment law: perspectives and challenges</i>	3
--	---

SAGGI

URSULA KRIEBAUM, <i>The uneasy co-existence of EU-law and international investment law...</i>	11
1. Introduction. — 2. ...“In its relation with the wider world”. The EU internal arena. — 3. ...“In its relation with the wider world”. The outer world. — 4. The international law perspective. — 5. Conclusion.	
MATTIA COLLI VIGNARELLI, <i>Can the European Union serve two masters? Revisiting the conflict between EU Treaties and investment agreements under the law of Treaties</i>	31
1. Introduction: the case for a revisitation of the intra-EU saga under the law of treaties. — 2. The chronological evolution of the intra-EU saga: from the ‘pre-Achmea’ stage to Green Power v. Spain. — 3. Green Power and its reconsideration of the intra-EU saga: a critical appraisal. — 3.1. A clash of ‘constitutions’? The relevance of EU law from a treaty law perspective. — 3.2. The incompatibility between EU treaties and investment agreements under Article 30 VCLT. — 3.3. Genuine conflicts and how to solve them: the role of Article 30(2) VCLT in the specific case of the Energy Charter Treaty. — 4. The ‘interpretative pathway’ and the untenable EU selective engagement with international investment law. — 5. Concluding remarks: no one can serve two masters.	
STEFANO SALUZZO, <i>Can EU member States validly consent to intra-EU arbitration ? An analysis under the Vienna Convention on the law of treaties.....</i>	65
1. Introduction. — 2. The validity of consent: setting the background. — 3. The applicability of article 46 VCLT to limits imposed by European Union law. — 4. Two critical elements on the application of Article 46 VCLT. — 4.1. The manifest nature of the infringement. — 4.2. Originality of the infringement and retroactivity of preliminary rulings. — 5. Concluding remarks.	
CHIARA CELLERINO, <i>The “unsustainable” clash between EU (and global) climate law and (old -style) international investment standards: Rockhopper v. Italy</i>	87
1. EU law and international investment law: procedural and substantive conflicts. — 2. The Rockhopper case: setting the factual and normative background. — 3. Environmental v. investor’s interests: a questionable introduction of the award. — 4.	

The Rockhopper award in the merits. — 5. A critical appraisal through the lenses of Italian law: legitimate interests vs individual rights. — 6. On the rejection of the police power doctrine. — 7. The impact of cases like Rockhopper on the relationship between legal systems: what destiny for the ECT? — 8. Conclusions.

LUDOVICA CHIUSI CURZI, <i>The EU as a non-disputing party in international investment arbitration</i>	109
1. Introduction. — 2. The EU Foreign Investment Policy and its Stance on Investment Arbitration. — 3. <i>Amicus Curiae</i> in Investor-State Arbitration: Purpose, Requirements, and Limits. — 4. The European Commission: Non-Disputing, While Guarding the EU Legal Order. — 5. Fostering the Dialogue Between EU law and Investment Law. — 6. The (Potential) Role of the Commission in Promoting the Core Values of the EU. — 7. Conclusion.	
GUSTAVO MINERVINI, <i>The conundrum of recognition, enforcement and execution of intra-EU investment arbitration awards before non-EU domestic courts</i>	133
1. Introduction. — 2. The law governing the recognition, enforcement and execution of investment arbitration awards. — 2.1. The ICSID Convention and intra-EU investment arbitration awards: is there any space left for domestic courts? — 2.2. The 1958 New York Convention <i>vis-à-vis</i> intra-EU investment arbitration awards: what about incapacity of the parties, invalidity of the agreement and public policy exception? — 3. The recent case law of non-EU domestic courts concerning ICSID awards. — 3.1. <i>Micula v. Romania</i> . — 3.2. <i>Infrastructure Services Luxembourg v. Spain</i> . — 3.3. <i>NextEra and 9REN Holding v. Spain</i> . — 3.4. <i>Opera Fund v. Spain</i> . — 4. The recent case law of non-EU domestic courts concerning non-ICSID awards. — 4.1. <i>Blasket v. Spain</i> . — 4.2. <i>Swiss Renewable Power v. Spain</i> — 5. Concluding remarks.	
MARIA LAURA MARCEDDU, <i>The pursuit of the United Nations sustainable development goals between EU's international investment policy and renewables investment arbitrations</i>	183
1. Introduction. — 2. The EU approach towards the UN SDGs. — 3. SDG n. 7 and renewables in the regime of international investments. — 4. Renewable energy projects and investment arbitrations against Spain. — 5. The road to SDGs is paved with legal and public policy obstacles. — 6. Conclusion.	
FRANCESCO MARIA DI MAJO, <i>The EU regulatory and standard setting action on corporate sustainability reporting and the environmental taxonomy: fighting against greenwashing practices with a global reach</i>	207
1. Introduction. — 2. The recent EU acts in the field of Corporate Sustainability Reporting and of Taxonomy and their linkage. — 2.1. The European Corporate Sustainability Reporting. — 2.2. The European Taxonomy. — 2.3. Linkage between CSRD/ESRS and Taxonomy. — 2.4. Further EU legislation aimed at channelling investments in sustainable activities. — 3. Challenges and difficulties in applying the new EU regulatory framework and necessity to reach a global approach in the protection of environment and human rights. — 4. The absence of a clear regulatory framework on the application of sustainability reporting with respect to activities carried out by European companies in third countries. — 5. Possible legal consequences for European companies resulting from non-compliance with disclosure obligations with respect to activities carried out overseas and from greenwashing practices. — 6. Conclusion.	
PIA ACCONCI, <i>The EU contribution towards a sustainable international investment law: searching for a leading role?</i>	253
1. Introductory remarks. — 2. The relevant context: from 'old generation' to 'new generation' international investment treaties. — 3. The EU contribution towards a sustainable international investment law through its 'post-Lisbon' international trade treaties. — 4. Concluding remarks.	

NOTIZIE

Governmental interest analysis: Corte USA applica un diritto straniero per “aggiudicare” un dipinto sottratto durante la shoah 283

Arbitrato (sportivo) con sede extra UE inidoneo a garantire il rispetto delle norme di ordine pubblico UE, secondo la CGUE 284

Superlega: norme FIFA e UEFA sulla preventiva autorizzazione a partecipare a competizioni parallele violano il diritto UE..... 284

Il Regno Unito firma la convenzione dell’Aia sul riconoscimento ed esecuzione di sentenze straniere in materia civile e commerciale 285

Algeria condannata per violazione del diritto al libero trasferimento dei proventi dell’investitore 285

La Corte Suprema delle Bahamas sonda i limiti della competenza arbitrale a decidere della validità di un trust 286

Riconosciuto il potere dei giudici inglesi di imporre l’esperimento delle procedure di ADR 287

La Corte Suprema tedesca riconosce la conformità al diritto UE dell’arbitrato fondato su BIT tra stato membro UE e stato terzo 288

La Corte d’appello di Singapore si pronuncia su giudicato transnazionale e supremazia del giudice della sede in arbitrato internazionale..... 289

Nuova normativa italiana sulla Global Minimum Tax..... 290

Dopo dieci anni di battaglie giudiziarie in Olanda, sta vedendo la fine la saga Yukos v. Russia 290

Dovere d’applicazione d’ufficio delle norme inderogabili di diritto internazionale privato UE confermato dalla Cassazione francese 291

Comitati di annullamento ICSID alle prese con il “filtro” di manifesta infondatezza ex art. 41(5) del regolamento arbitrale 292

Notizie a cura di Federico Alberto Cabona, Vittoria De Benedetti, Federico Santa Maria, Gregorio Scrima

RECENSIONI

DOMENICO PAUCIULO, *La promozione dello sviluppo sostenibile negli accordi internazionali sugli investimenti esteri*, Edizioni Scientifiche Italiane, 2023. (Gian Maria Farnelli) . 295